

CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS WHOSE MODULI SPACES ARE LOCALLY SYMMETRIC MANIFOLDS AND NO QUANTUM CORRECTIONS

ANDREY N. TODOROV

ABSTRACT. It is observed that there are a natural sequence of CY manifolds M_g that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyperplanes and some of them are obtained from the Jacobian $J(C_g)$ of hyper-elliptic curve C_g by an action of an involution of a semi-direct product of $g - 1$ copies of groups of order two with the symmetric group of g elements. This construction generalizes Kummer surfaces. We observed that the g^2 Kodaira-Spencer classes on the Jacobian are invariant under the action of the above group. They form a basis of $H^1(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0})$. Since on complex torus we have $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$, then for any Kodaira-Spencer classes ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 on these special CY manifolds, we have $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$. From here it follows that the moduli space of those CY manifolds is a locally symmetric space $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{SU}(n, n) / \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(n) \times \mathbb{U}(n))$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. General Remarks	2
1.2. The Description of the Ideas of the Proofs of the Main Theorems	3
2. Moduli Spaces of CY Manifolds	4
2.1. Local Deformation Theory of CY Manifolds	4
2.2. Global Theory of the Moduli Space of Polarized CY Manifolds	5
3. Variations of Hodge Structures	6
3.1. Basic Definitions	6
3.2. Moduli of VHS	7
3.3. $\mathbb{SU}(g, g) / \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(g) \times \mathbb{U}(g))$ and VHS of Weight g -Flat Coordinates	7
4. Basic Facts about Jacobians of Hyper-Elliptic Curves	9
4.1. Jacobian of Hyper-Elliptic Curves and the θ -Divisors of Hyper-Elliptic Curves	9
4.2. θ -Functions and θ -Divisors in the Jacobians of Hyper-Elliptic Curves	10
5. CY Manifolds that are Double Covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ Ramified over $2g + 2$ Hyperplanes in General Position and Symmetric Powers of Hyper-Elliptic Curves	11
5.1. Basic Construction of CY Manifolds that are Double Cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ Ramified over $2g + 2$ Hyperplanes in General Position	11

Date: June 26, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C38, 15A15; Secondary 05A15, 15A18.

Key words and phrases. Calabi-Yau Manifolds, Locally Symmetric Spaces.

5.2.	CY Manifolds that are Double Covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ Ramified over $2g + 2$ Hyperplanes in General Position and Covered by the g^{th} Power of A Hyper-Elliptic Curve C_g of Genus g .	12
6.	Deformation Theory	14
6.1.	Jacobians of Hyper-Elliptic Curves and Locally Symmetric Spaces as Moduli of CY Manifolds	14
6.2.	Moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{L,g}(M)$ of polarized CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyper planes is a Locally Symmetric Spaces	18
7.	Final Remarks	20
7.1.	Some Comments about Miles Reid Conjecture	20
7.2.	The List of Tube Symmetric Domain, which can Parametrize Variation of Hodge Structure of Weight Three Coming from a CY Manifold	20
7.3.	Conjectures	20
	References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. General Remarks. In the last fifteen years CY manifolds attracted the attention of mathematicians and physicists. The influence of the ideas from String Theory on certain fields of mathematics were amazing. Some of the ideas led to the solutions of some classical problems. One such example is the applications of the ideas of mirror symmetry to enumerative geometry and can be considered as solution of one of the Hilbert's Problems.

The motivation of writing this paper is the recent paper [8] of S. Gukov and C. Vafa. In [8] a problem to find CY manifolds whose moduli space contains everywhere dense subset of CY manifolds of CM type was posed. This problem is connected with the Conformal Field Theories. The Rational Conformal Field Theory was introduced in [4]. See also [15] and [16]. It is expected that sigma models with targets CY manifold of CM type will give a Rational Conformal Field Theory. It was pointed out that the existence of everywhere dense subset of RCFT may lead to a better understanding of the Conformal Field Theory. According to a conjecture of F. Oort and Y. André, the points in the moduli space of polarized algebraic manifold corresponding to manifolds of CM types is dense only when the moduli space is a locally symmetric space. See [1], and [17]. For some interesting relations between the arithmetic of CY manifolds and Rational Conformal Field Theory see [21]. According to Satake $\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ is a Shimura variety. See [20]. It is natural to expect that the points of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(g, g) / \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(g) \times \mathbb{U}(g))$ that corresponds to abelian varieties of CM type will correspond to CY manifolds of CM type. This question will be addressed in another paper.

The moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces of genus at least two are not locally symmetric spaces. It seems that it is very rare that the moduli spaces of projective algebraic varieties is a locally symmetric space. For example the moduli space of one and two dimensional projective varieties with zero canonical class are locally symmetric spaces. In dimension one the analogue of CY manifold is the elliptic curve, in dimension two it is the K3 surface. The moduli space of elliptic curves is

$\Gamma_E \backslash \mathrm{SU}(1,1)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1))$. The moduli space of algebraic polarized K3 surfaces is the locally symmetric space $\Gamma_{K3,L} \backslash \mathrm{SO}_0(2,19)/\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(19)$, where Γ_E and $\Gamma_{K3,L}$ are arithmetic groups. The moduli space of abelian varieties are also locally symmetric spaces.

Viehweg proved that the moduli space of polarized CY manifolds exists and it is a quasi-projective variety. See [24]. In general the moduli space of polarized CY manifolds is not a locally symmetric space. It seems that up to now only one example of a CY manifold is known whose moduli space is a locally symmetric space. The example is the Borcea-Voisin manifold which is obtained from a K3 surface X with an involution σ_1 without fixed points on X and elliptic curve E as follows: $X \times E/(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$, where σ_2 is the involution on E . Its moduli space is a product of two locally symmetric spaces.

Dick Gross classified all possible symmetric spaces that are tube domains which can be period domains of Variations of Hodge Structures of CY threefolds. See [7]. He posed a very interesting question to find CY threefolds, whose moduli spaces are the list presented in [7].

There is a natural sequence of CY manifolds that are obtained as double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyperplanes in general position. Elliptic curves are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ramified over four points in general position. Double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ ramified over six lines in general position are K3 surfaces with 15 double points whose moduli space is isomorphic to $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SU}(2,2)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(2))$. In this paper we prove that moduli space of non singular CY manifolds M_g that are obtained from the resolution of the singularities of the double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyperplanes in general position is the locally symmetric space $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SU}(g,g)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g)) = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$, where Γ is a subgroup in the mapping class group which preserve the polarization class.

Dolgachev conjectured that the moduli space of CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ planes is the tube domain $S_g(\mathbb{C}) + iS_g^+(\mathbb{C})$, where $S_g(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of $g \times g$ Hermitian matrices and $S_g^+(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of positive Hermitian matrices. So $S_g(\mathbb{C}) + iS_g^+(\mathbb{C})$ is the tube domain realization of the symmetric space $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} = \mathrm{SU}(g,g)/\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$.

1.2. The Description of the Ideas of the Proofs of the Main Theorems.

The main observation of this paper is the following:

Theorem 11. *Let M_g be a CY manifold obtained from the resolution of singularities of a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyperplanes in general position. Let ϕ_1 and $\phi_2 \in H^1(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0})$ be two Kodaira-Spencer classes. Then $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$.*

Theorem 11 follows from the following observation: Let C_g be a hyper-elliptic curve of genus $g > 2$ such that the projection $\pi_g : C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ has the ramification points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+1}$ and ∞ . We can identify $J(C_g)$ with $\mathrm{Pic}^{g-1}(C)$. The θ -divisor of the Jacobian of a hyper-elliptic curve can be identified with the image of $S^{g-1}(C_g)$. Notice that $S^g(C_g)$ is obtained from $J(C_g)$ by blowing up the subvariety of codimension two which is the image of $S^{g-2}(C_g) + 2\lambda_i$. Let us consider $2g + 2$ θ_i -divisors obtained from θ by translates by the $2n + 1$ points $\lambda_i - \infty$. Notice that the $2g + 2$ θ -divisors are tangent on $S^g(C_g)$ to the blown up $S^{g-2}(C_g) + 2\lambda_i/$

We observed that on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$ the subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g$ embedded into $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$ as the sum of the order two elements to be zero acts. It is easy to see that the quotient is a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ with ramification divisors the $2g+2$ hyperplanes which are the images of the θ -divisors and are tangent to the image of the blown up $S^{g-2}(C_g) + 2\lambda_i$. We observed that each vector of the basis $\left\{ \overline{dz^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right\}$ of $H^1\left(J(C_g), T_{J(C_g)}^{1,0}\right)$ is invariant under the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g$. Since the fixed point set of τ_g has a codimension two, then by Hartog's Theorem we can extend $\left\{ (\nu_n)_* \left(\overline{dz^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} \right) \right\}$ to a basis of $H^1(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0})$. Thus each Kodaira Spencer class ϕ on M_g can be written as follows:

$$\phi = \sum_{i,j=1}^g a_j^i \overline{dz^j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i},$$

where a_j^i are constant. The definition of a $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ implies that $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$ for any $\phi_k \in H^1(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0})$. This fact implies that the moduli space of the CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ are locally symmetric spaces. We will show in the next paper and there are no quantum corrections to the Yukawa couplings.

Acknowledgement 1. *I want to express my deep gratitude toward Igor Dolgachev for his remarks. I disagree with some of his suggestions and comments about other papers related to this one. Special thanks to Maxim Kontsevich for his useful remarks. The author is grateful to Cumrum Vafa for suggesting to look for an abelian variety that covers the double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g+2$ hyperplanes in general position. His suggestion played an important role in this paper. The author wants to thank Professor Yau, Professor Kefeng Liu and Professor Lu for their useful and helpful comments and numerous discussions on this topic. Special thanks to Sasha Goncharov and Yu. Manin for their interest and encouragement.*

2. MODULI SPACES OF CY MANIFOLDS

2.1. Local Deformation Theory of CY Manifolds.

Definition 1. *Let M be a complex manifold. Let*

$$\phi \in C^\infty\left(M, \text{Hom}\left(\Omega_M^{1,0}, \Omega_M^{0,1}\right)\right) = C^\infty\left(M, T_M^{1,0} \otimes \Omega_M^{0,1}\right)$$

then we will call ϕ a Beltrami differential.

The Beltrami differential ϕ defines an integrable complex structure on M if and only if the following equation holds: $\bar{\partial}\phi = \frac{1}{2}[\phi, \phi]$, where

$$[\phi, \phi]|_{D^n} := \sum_{\nu=1}^n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^n \left(\phi_{\alpha}^{\mu} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{\beta}^{\nu} \right) - \phi_{\beta}^{\mu} \left(\partial_{\mu} \phi_{\alpha}^{\nu} \right) \right) \right) \overline{dz}^{\alpha} \wedge \overline{dz}^{\beta} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}.$$

The main results in [22] are the following Theorems:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a CY manifold and let $\{\phi_i\}$ be a basis of harmonic $(0,1)$ forms with coefficients in $T^{1,0}$ of $\mathbb{H}^1(M, T^{1,0})$, then the equation $\bar{\partial}\phi = \frac{1}{2}[\phi, \phi]$ has a solution in the form: $\phi(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i \tau^i + \sum_{|I_N| \geq 2} \phi_{I_N} \tau^{I_N}$ and $\partial^*(\phi(\tau)) = 0$, where*

$I_N = (i_1, \dots, i_N)$ is a multi-index, $\phi_{I_N} \in C^\infty(M, \Omega^{0,1} \otimes T^{1,0})$, $\phi_{I_N} \lrcorner \omega_0 = \partial \psi_{I_N}$, $\tau^{I_N} = (\tau^1)^{i_1} \dots (\tau^N)^{i_N}$ and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\phi(\tau) \in C^\infty(M, \Omega^{0,1} \otimes T^{1,0})$ for $|\tau^i| < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$.

In [22] we proved the following basic fact:

Theorem 2. *Let ω_0 be a holomorphic n -form on the n dimensional CY manifold M . Let $\{U_i\}$ be a covering of M and let $\{z_1^i, \dots, z_n^i\}$ be local coordinates in U_i such that $\omega_0|_{U_i} = dz_1^i \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n^i$. Then for each $\tau = (\tau^1, \dots, \tau^N)$ such that $|\tau_i| < \varepsilon$ the forms on M defined as:*

$$\omega_\tau|_{U_i} := (dz_1^i + \phi(\tau)(dz_1^i)) \wedge \dots \wedge (dz_n^i + \phi(\tau)(dz_n^i))$$

are globally defined complex n forms ω_τ on M and, moreover, ω_τ are closed holomorphic n forms with respect to the complex structure on M defined by $\phi(\tau)$.

Corollary 1. *We have the following Taylor expansion for the form ω_τ :*

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_\tau|_{U_i} = \omega_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} ((\wedge^k \phi(\tau)) \lrcorner \omega_0).$$

$\phi(\tau)$ is defined by Theorem 1. (See [22].)

Corollary 2. *We have*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} [\omega_\tau] &= [\omega_0] + \sum [(\omega_0 \lrcorner \phi_i)] \tau^i - \sum [(\omega_0 \lrcorner (\phi_i \wedge \phi_j))] \tau^i \tau^j + \\ &+ \sum_{k \geq 3} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n_i} [\omega_0 \lrcorner (\phi_{i_1}(\tau) \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_{i_k}(\tau))], \end{aligned}$$

where $[\omega_0(n-k, k)]$ are forms of type $(n-k, k)$. (See [22].)

Definition 2. *We call this coordinate system a flat coordinate system. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be the polydisk defined by $|\tau^i| < \varepsilon$ for every $1 \leq i \leq N$, where ε is chosen such that for every $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$, $\phi(\tau) \in C^\infty(M, \Omega^{0,1} \otimes T^{1,0})$, where $\phi(\tau)$ is defined in Theorem 1. On the trivial C^∞ family $M \times \mathcal{K}$, we will define for each $\tau \in \mathcal{K}$ an integrable complex structure $I_{\phi(\tau)}$ on the fibre over τ of the family $M \times \mathcal{K}$. So a complex analytic family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ of CY manifold was defined. We will call this family the Kuranishi family. We introduce a coordinate system $(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^N)$ in $\mathcal{K}$ as in Theorem 1.*

2.2. Global Theory of the Moduli Space of Polarized CY Manifolds.

Definition 3. *We will call a pair $(M; \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{b_n})$ a marked CY manifold where M is a CY manifold and $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{b_n}\}$ is a basis of $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor}$.*

We proved in [13] the existence of the Teichmüller space:

Theorem 3. *There exists a family $\mathcal{Z}_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(M)$, of marked polarized CY manifolds which possesses the following properties: **a)** It is effectively parametrized, **b)** For any marked CY manifold M of fixed topological type for which the polarization class L defines an imbedding into a projective space $\mathbb{CP}^N$, there exists an isomorphism of it (as a marked CY manifold) with a fibre M_s of the family $\mathcal{Z}_L$. **c)** The base has dimension $h^{n-1,1}$.*

Corollary 3. *Let $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}$ be any family of marked CY manifolds, then there exists a unique holomorphic map $\phi : \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(M)$ up to a biholomorphic map ψ of M which induces the identity map on $H_n(M, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Corollary 4. *The Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}(M)$ has finite number of irreducible components. From now on we will denote by $\mathcal{T}(M)$ the irreducible component of the Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}(M)$ that contains our fixed CY manifold M .*

Definition 4. *We will define the mapping class group $\Gamma(M)$ of any compact C^∞ manifold M as follows: $\Gamma(M) = \text{Diff}_+(M)/\text{Diff}_0(M)$, where $\text{Diff}_+(M)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms of M preserving the orientation of M and $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms isotopic to identity. Let $L \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ be the imaginary part of a Kähler metric. Let $\Gamma_L := \{\phi \in \Gamma(M) | \phi(L) = L\}$.*

It is a well know fact that the moduli space of polarized algebraic manifolds $\mathcal{M}_\omega(M) = \mathfrak{T}(M)/\Gamma_\omega$. In [13] the following Theorem was proved.

Theorem 4. *There exists a subgroup of finite index Γ of Γ_L such that Γ acts freely on the component $\mathcal{T}(M)$ of the Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}(M)$, stabilize $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{T}(M) = \mathfrak{M}_L(M)$ is a non-singular quasi-projective variety.*

Remark 1. *Theorem 4 implies that we constructed a family of non-singular CY manifolds $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_L(M)$ over a quasi-projective non-singular variety $\mathfrak{M}_L(M)$. Moreover it is easy to see that $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{CP}^N \times \mathfrak{M}_L(M)$. So $\mathcal{X}$ is also quasi-projective. From now on we will work only with this family.*

3. VARIATIONS OF HODGE STRUCTURES

3.1. Basic Definitions.

Definition 5. *Let Λ_g be a free abelian group on which a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ is defined and $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_n = (-1)^n \langle e_2, e_1 \rangle_n$. The Hodge Structure of weight n is a decomposition $\Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=g} H^{p,q}$, where $H^{p,q} = \overline{H^{q,p}}$ and the restriction of the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^{p,q}$ has the following properties:*

$$(3.1) \quad (-i)^{p-q} (-1)^{\frac{g(q-1)}{2}} \langle \omega, \overline{\omega} \rangle_g > 0,$$

For $\omega \in H^{p,q}$ and $\omega_1 \in H^{p_1,q_1}$ for $p \neq q_1$ and $p \neq q_1$ we have $\langle \omega, \omega_1 \rangle_g = 0$.

Remark 2. *The Hodge Structure of weight n defines a Hodge filtration on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{C}$:*

$$(3.2) \quad F^0 \subset F^1 \subset \dots \subset F^g = \Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where $F^k = \bigoplus_{p+q=g, q \leq k} H^{p,q}$. The Hodge filtration is isotropic: $(F^q)^\perp = F^{g-q-1}$ and

$H^{p,q} = F^p \cap \overline{F^{g-p,p}}$. Once we have an isotropic filtration, then we have the following

Hodge decomposition $\Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p+q=g} H^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^g F^p \cap \overline{F^{g-p,p}}$.

Definition 6. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(U) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Lambda_g)$ be a representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(U)$ to $\text{Aut}(\Lambda_n)$. Suppose that G preserves the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\pi : \widetilde{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \widetilde{\Lambda}_g \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U$ be the flat vector bundle associated with the above representations of $\pi_1(U)$. Let $F_\tau^0 \subset F_\tau^1 \subset \dots \subset F_\tau^g = \Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be an isotropic filtration which depends holomorphically. We will say that the representation ρ defines a VHS of weight n over U if the flat connection ∇ defined by the representation ρ satisfies Griffith's transversely condition:*

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla : F_\tau^p \rightarrow F_\tau^{p-1} \otimes \Omega_U^1$$

and $\Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C} = \bigoplus_{p=0}^g F_\tau^p \cap \overline{F}_\tau^{g-q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=g} H^{p,q}$, where $H^{p,q} = F^q \cap \overline{F}^{g-q}$. We defined the Variation of Hodge Structure (VHS) of weight g over the complex manifold U .

3.2. Moduli of VHS. The moduli spaces $\mathfrak{G}_g$ of the VHS of weight g is described as follows; Let $\mathfrak{G}_g(M)$ be the set of all filtrations (3.2) in $\Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C}$ defined such that

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=g} F^p \cap \overline{F}^{g-q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=g} H^{p,q} = \Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

have fixed dimensions $h^{p,q}$ and the filtration is isotropic. Then the moduli space $\mathfrak{G}_g(M) = \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}_1$,

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{G} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}p(b_g) & \text{for } g = 2m+1 \\ \mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}(m_1, m_2), & \text{for } g = 2m, \end{cases},$$

where $m_1 = \sum_{p=2k, p \leq m} h^{p,q}$, $m_1 + m_2 = 2m$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{K}_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{p \leq m} \mathbb{U}(h^{p,q}) \right) & \text{for } g = 2m+1 \\ \left(\prod_{p < m} \mathbb{U}(h^{p,q}) \right) \times \mathbb{S}\mathbb{O}(m), & \text{for } g = 2m. \end{cases}.$$

Over $\mathfrak{G}_g(M) = \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}_1$ we get the universal variation of Hodge structure

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \subset \mathfrak{F}^0 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{F}^g = H_g \times \mathfrak{G}_g = (\Lambda_g \otimes \mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{G}_g$$

of weight g such that it satisfies (3.3).

If $\widetilde{H}_g = \widetilde{\Lambda}_g \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U$ is a VHS of weight n over U , then there exists a map $p : U \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_n$ such that the VHS $\widetilde{H}_g = \widetilde{\Lambda}_g \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U$ of weight g over U is the pullback of the universal VHS (3.6) of weight g . The map p will be called the period map.

Remark 3. From the definition of $\mathbb{G}$ given by (3.4) and the Definition of $\mathbb{K}_1$ given by (3.5) it is clear that we have $\mathbb{K}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K}$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathbb{G}$. The compact subvarieties $g(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{K}_1) \subset \mathbb{G}/\mathbb{K}_1$ are complex subvarieties for each $g \in \mathbb{G}$.

3.3. $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(g, g)/\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(g) \times \mathbb{U}(g))$ and VHS of Weight g -Flat Coordinates. Let $V := \mathbb{C}^{2g}$ be equipped with a Hermitian metric

$$q(z, \bar{z}) := z^1 \bar{z}^1 + \dots + z^g \bar{z}^g - z^{g+1} \bar{z}^{g+1} - \dots - z^{2g} \bar{z}^{2g}.$$

The Group that preserve $q(z, \bar{z})$ with determinant 1 is defined to be $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(g, g)$. The elements of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(g, g)$ and its maximal compact subgroup $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(g) \times \mathbb{U}(g))$ are defined as the set of all $2g \times 2g$ matrices respectively:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & (\tau_j^i) \\ (\overline{\tau_j^i})^t & B \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where A and B are elements of $\mathbb{U}(n)$ such that $\det(A)\det(B) = 1$ and the matrices (τ_j^i) satisfy $I_n - (\tau_j^i) \times (\overline{\tau_j^i})^t > 0$. We define

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} := \mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(g, g)/\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{U}(g) \times \mathbb{U}(g)).$$

Theorem 5. *Let us consider*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{D}_{g,g} := \left\{ Z = (\tau_j^i) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq g \text{ such that } (I_g - Z \times \overline{Z^t}) > 0 \right\}.$$

Then **A.** $\mathcal{D}_{g,g} = \mathfrak{h}_{g,g} := \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$ and **B.** $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} \subset \mathbf{Grass}(g, 2g)$.

Proof of A: Let H_{2g} be a real $2n$ dimensional vector space. Suppose $E_{2g} := H_{2g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ has a Hermitian metric $q(z, \bar{z})$ of signature (n, n) .

Lemma 1. *Let $E_{2g} = E_g \oplus \overline{E_g}$ such that $q|_{E_g} > 0$ and $q|_{\overline{E_g}} < 0$. Then there is one to one map between $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ and all subspaces $E_g \subset E_{2g}$ of dimension n such that $q|_{E_g} > 0$.*

Proof: $\mathrm{SU}(g, g)$ acts transitively of all oriented subspaces E_g of dimension g such that $h|_{E_g} > 0$. The stabilizer of a fixed splitting $E_g \oplus \overline{E_g}$ such that $q(z, \bar{z})|_{E_g} > 0$ and $q(z, \bar{z})|_{\overline{E_g}} < 0$ is $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$. Lemma 1 is proved. ■ Part **A** of Theorem 5 is proved. ■

Proof of B: Theorem 5 **B** follows directly from the description of the elements of $\mathrm{SU}(n, n)$ and its subgroup $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$ given by (3.7) and since $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} := \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$. ■

Theorem 6. *There exists a representation of $\mathrm{SU}(g, g)$ to $\mathbf{Sp}(4g, \mathbb{R})$ and*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} := \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g)) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{4g} := \mathbf{Sp}(4g) / \mathrm{U}(2g)$$

is a totally geodesic complex submanifold.

Proof: One needs to construct a representation $Sh_{g,g}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(g, g)$ into a real $4g$ dimensional space H_{4g} such that $\mathrm{SU}(g, g)$ preserve a skew symmetric non degenerate form $(\ , \)_{4g}$ on H_{4g} . The representation $Sh_{g,g}$ defines an embedding

$$p : \mathfrak{h}_{g,g} = \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g)) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{4g} = \mathbf{Sp}(4g) / \mathrm{U}(2g).$$

Such a representation is constructed in [20]. ■

Definition 7. *The symmetric domain $\mathfrak{h}_{2g} = \mathbf{Sp}(4g) / \mathrm{SU}(2g) = \mathfrak{G}_1(2g)$ is the moduli space of all VHS of weight one with $h^{1,0} = 2g$. Thus the embedding*

$$p : \mathfrak{h}_{g,g} = \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g)) \subset \mathfrak{h}_{4g} = \mathbf{Sp}(4g) / \mathrm{U}(2g).$$

induces a VHS of weight one over $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$. Let us denote it by $\mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$. The realization of $\mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$ is the following: Let $H_{2g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = E_{2g} = E_g \oplus \overline{E_g}$ be a fixed $2g$ dimensional vector space with the Hermitian bilinear form $q(z, \bar{z})$ of signature (n, n) such that $E_g|_{q(z, \bar{z})} > 0$ and $\overline{E_g}|_{q(z, \bar{z})} < 0$. Let $E_{2g} \times \mathfrak{h}_{g,g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ be the trivial $2n$ bundle. The action of $\mathrm{SU}(g, g)$ on E_{2g} and $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ defines a vector bundle $\pi_{g,g} : \mathcal{E}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ where $\pi_{g,g}^{-1}(h) = h(E_g) = H_{h(id)}^{1,0}$ and $\mathcal{E}_g \oplus \overline{\mathcal{E}_g} = E_{2g} \times \mathfrak{h}_{g,g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$.

Definition 8. *Let us define VHS $\wedge^g \mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$ over $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ as the g exterior power of the VHS of $\mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$ of weight one.*

Remark 4. *The bilinear form $\langle \ , \ \rangle_n$ on $\wedge^g H_{2g}$ defined by the VHS $\wedge^g \mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$ over $\mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$ is the n exterior power of the skew symmetric bilinear form $\mathrm{Im} q = (\ , \)_{2n}$ on H_{2g} associated with $\mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$. Then the symmetric space*

$$\mathfrak{h}_{g,g} := \mathrm{SU}(g, g) / \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(g) \times \mathrm{U}(g))$$

is the moduli space of the VHS $\wedge^g \mathcal{V}_{g,g}(1)$ of weight n .

4. BASIC FACTS ABOUT JACOBIANS OF HYPER-ELLIPTIC CURVES

4.1. Jacobian of Hyper-Elliptic Curves and the θ -Divisors of Hyper-Elliptic Curves.

Definition 9. Let C_g be any projective algebraic curve of genus g . We will define the Jacobian $J(C_g)$ of C_g as follows:

$$J(C_g) := \{D \mid D \text{ is a divisor, } \deg D = 0\} / \sim,$$

where $D_1 \sim D_2$ iff there exists a meromorphic function f on C_g such that $D_1 - D_2 = (f)$, where (f) is the divisor which consists of all zeroes and all poles with their multiplicities with a sign minus of the poles of a meromorphic function f on C_g .

Another equivalent definition of $J(C_g)$ is the following one:

Definition 10. $J(C_g)$ is the set of all line bundles $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$, where D is a divisor of degree zero modulo isomorphism.

It is a well known fact that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{C_g}(D_1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{C_g}(D_2)$ if and only if $D_1 - D_2 = (f)$, where f is a meromorphic function on C_g .

Definition 11. Let C_g be a curve of genus g . Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ be a basis of $H^0(C, \Omega_C^1)$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g}$ be a basis of $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we define the period matrix $\mathcal{P}$

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{P} = \left(\int_{\gamma_i} \omega_j \right)$$

with g rows and $2g$ columns. It is easy to see that we can choose the basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ in such way that the matrix $\mathcal{P}$ defined by (4.1) can be presented as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad (E_g, Z_g)$$

where $Z_g^t = Z_g$, i.e. it is a symmetric matrix and $\text{Im } Z_g$ is positive definite. See [3]. Then if $A_1, \dots, A_g; B_1, \dots, B_g$ is a basis of $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\langle A_i, A_j \rangle = \langle B_i, B_j \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle A_i, B_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

then there exists a basis $\{\omega_i\}$ of $H^0(C_g, \Omega_{C_g}^1)$ such that

$$\int_{A_j} \omega_i = \delta_{ij} \text{ and } \int_{B_j} \omega_i = z_{ij},$$

where the matrix (z_{ij}) is the symmetric matrix Z_g that appears in (4.2).

Definition 12. There is a natural map $p_g : S^g(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$ given by

$$(4.3) \quad p_g(x_1, \dots, x_g) = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \int_{\infty}^{x_1} \omega_1 & . & . & . & \int_{\infty}^{x_1} \omega_g \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ . & . & . & . & . \\ \int_{\infty}^{x_n} \omega_1 & . & . & . & \int_{\infty}^{x_n} \omega_g \end{array} \right) \in J(C_g).$$

We will need the following Theorems 7 and 8.

Definition 13. Let C_g be a curve of genus g . We will define $\text{Pic}^r(C_g)$, as the set of all line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{C_g}(D)$ such that $\deg D = r$, modulo isomorphism.

Theorem 7. Let p_0 be a fixed point on C_g . The map

$$p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(-p_0)$$

defines an embedding: $C_g \subset \text{Pic}^0(C_g)$. Let $p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_k$ be points on C_g . Then

there exists a meromorphic functions f such that $(f) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i$, if and only

$$\text{if } \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\dots \int_{p_i}^{q_i} \omega_j \dots \right) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^g.$$

4.2. θ -Functions and θ -Divisors in the Jacobians of Hyper-Elliptic Curves.

Definition 14. Let $\delta_i, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$, $j, k = 1, \dots, g$. We will define

$$\delta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \delta_g \end{bmatrix}, \quad \varepsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \varepsilon_g \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} (z, Z_g) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^g} \exp \left\{ \pi i^t \left(m + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) Z_g \left(m + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) + 2^t \left(m + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \left(z + \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$. $\theta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} \subset J(C_g)$ is defined as follows:

$$\left\{ z \in J(C_g) \mid \theta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix} (z, Z_g) = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+2}$ be the ramification points of C_g . We will define the $2g+2$ divisors θ_i as the the theta divisor θ and $2g+1$ divisors $(T_{\lambda_i - \infty})_*(\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix})$ obtained by the translation of the θ -divisor $\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ by the $2g+1$ points of order two $\lambda_i - \infty$ on $J(C_g)$. It is clear that

$$(4.4) \quad \theta_i := \{ (a_1 + \dots + a_{g-1} + \lambda_i) \mid a_i \in C_g \}.$$

It is proved by Riemann that $\theta \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}$ is a divisor in $J(C_g)$. The divisor $\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is called the θ -divisor in $J(C_g)$. See [3], Chapter 4.

We will give another definition of the Theta divisors θ_i based on Theorem 8.

Theorem 8. $J(C_g)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C_g)$. See [3], Chapter 4.

Definition 15. Let $\pi_g : C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be a non-singular hyper-elliptic curve of genus $g \geq 3$ given by $y^2 = F_{2g+1}(z)$ and $P_g : S^g(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+1} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the zeroes of $F_{2g+1}(z) = 0$ and $F'_{2g+1}(\lambda_i) \neq 0$. Then the ramification points of π_g are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+1}$ and ∞ . We define the θ_∞ -divisor in $J(C_g)$ as the image of $S^{g-1}(C_g)$ in $\text{Pic}^{g-1}(C_g)$ as follows

$$\theta_\infty := P_g(S^{g-1}(C_g)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(\infty) \subset J(C_g).$$

We define θ_i be the divisors in $J(C_g)$ defined as follows

$$\theta_i := P_g(S^{g-1}(C_g)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(\lambda_i).$$

Remark 5. We have

$$(4.5) \quad \theta_i \cap \theta_j := P_g(S^{g-2}(C_g)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(\lambda_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(\lambda_j).$$

Theorem 9. Let C_g be a hyper-elliptic curve of genus at least two. Let $S^g(C_g)$ be the symmetric g^{th} power of C_g . Then $S^g(C_g)$ is obtained from $J(C_g)$ by blowing up the non-singular subvariety $S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_i)$.

Proof: $\pi_g : C_g \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a double cover ramified over $2g+2$ points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g+1}$ and ∞ . Thus we get that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(C_g, \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_i)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(x_0)) = 2.$$

On the other hand we have $2\lambda_i \sim 2\lambda_j$. So

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{2g+2} \theta_i = P_g(S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_i)) = \dots = P_g(S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_j)).$$

These two facts imply Theorem 9. ■

Remark 6. The definition of the theta divisors and its preimages $P_g^{-1}(\theta_i)$ in $S^g(C_g)$ implies that we have

$$(4.6) \quad p_g^{-1}(\theta_i) \cap p_g^{-1}(\theta_j) = p_g^{-1}(\theta_i \cap \theta_j) = S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_j.$$

Definition 16. Let $\widetilde{S^g(C_g)}$ be obtained by blowing up first the codimension two sub-manifold $P_g(S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_i)) = P_g(S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_j))$ in $J(C_g)$ and then all possible $p_g^{-1}(\theta_i) \cap p_g^{-1}(\theta_j)$, where

$$p_g : S^g(C_g) \rightarrow J(C_g).$$

Remark 7. We see that we have the following geometric picture in $\widetilde{S^g(C_g)}$; Let $P_g : \widetilde{S^g(C_g)} \rightarrow S^g(C_g)$ be the holomorphic blown down map. Then we have $2g+2$ non-singular divisors $P_g^{-1}(p_g^{-1}(\theta_i))$ intersecting into $\binom{2g+2}{2}$ non-singular divisors $P_g^{-1}(p_g^{-1}(\theta_i \cap \theta_j))$ and all $2g+2$ divisors are tangent to the divisor $S^{g-2}(C_g) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C_g}(2\lambda_j)$. We have exactly the same configuration as $H_i, H_i \cap H_j$. The last two fact implies Lemma 11. ■

5. CY MANIFOLDS THAT ARE DOUBLE COVERS OF $\mathbb{CP}^g$ RAMIFIED OVER $2g+2$ HYPERPLANES IN GENERAL POSITION AND SYMMETRIC POWERS OF HYPER-ELLIPTIC CURVES

5.1. Basic Construction of CY Manifolds that are Double Cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ Ramified over $2g+2$ Hyperplanes in General Position.

Definition 17. Let $H_1, \dots, H_{2g+2}$ be $2g+2$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{CP}^g$. We will say that H_i are in general position if any different g hyperplanes intersect transversely in one points and any different $g+1$ do not intersect.

Theorem 10. Let $H_1, \dots, H_{2g+2}$ be $2g+2$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{CP}^g$ in general position. Let us blow up the $\binom{2g+2}{2}$ different intersection $H_i \cap H_j$ on $\mathbb{CP}^g$ and denote them by H_{ij} , denote the blown up space by $\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}$. Then there exists a CY manifold that is a double cover $M_g \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}$ ramified over the disjoint union of H_i .

Proof: Let us consider the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_j \right)$. Since as a class of $2g-2$ class of homology is homological to $12H$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}$ is a simply connected manifold, then we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_j + \sum_{i < j} H_i \cap H_j \right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} (12H).$$

Now we can define a map of the line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} (6H) = \sqrt{\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_j + \sum_{i < j} H_i \cap H_j \right)}$$

to $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_j + \sum_{i < j} H_i \cap H_j \right)$ by taking the map of the fibre $t \rightarrow t^2$. Then the

praimage of the section σ whose zero set is $\sum_{i=1}^{2g+2} H_j + \sum_{i < j} H_i \cap H_j$ will define a double

cover M_n over $\widetilde{\mathbb{CP}^g}$ ramified over all H_i and $H_i \cap H_j$. Then direct computation using the adjunction formula shows that M_g is a CY manifold. ■

5.2. CY Manifolds that are Double Covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ Ramified over $2g+2$ Hyperplanes in General Position and Covered by the g^{th} Power of A Hyper-Elliptic Curve C_g of Genus g . Let C_g be a hyper-elliptic curve of genus g . Then the cover

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g & \rightarrow & S^g(C_g) \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow \\ \underbrace{\mathbb{P}^g \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^g}_g & \rightarrow & S^g(\mathbb{P}^g) = \mathbb{P}^g \end{array}$$

will be a Galois cover with a Galois group the semi-direct product $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$. Let

$$(5.1) \quad N = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g \subset (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$$

be subgroup defined as follows:

$$(5.2) \quad \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_g) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \sum_{i=1}^g a_i = 0 \right\} \ltimes S_g.$$

Theorem 11. *Let C_g be a hyper-elliptic curve of genus $g > 2$. Let N be the subgroup isomorphic $N = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g$ and embedded into $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$ by (5.2). Then*

$$\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N = M_{g,s}$$

is a CY manifold which is a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g+2$ hyperplanes in general position.

Proof: Since N is a subgroup of index two in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$ and

$$(5.3) \quad Q_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g = \mathbb{CP}^g,$$

be the quotient map. Then there exists a holomorphic double cover

$$(5.4) \quad \pi_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N = M_{g,s} \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g = \mathbb{CP}^g.$$

Lemma 2. *Let us consider*

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$ acts on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$, then $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on

$$M_{g,s} = \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N = \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g.$$

The ramification divisor R of the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ consists of $2g+2$ hyperplanes in $\mathbb{CP}^g$ which are the images of the $2g+2$ divisors $\lambda_i \times \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_{g-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 2g+2$

under the map

$$\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g = \mathbb{CP}^g.$$

Proof: The definition of the action of N and $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$ on

$$\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$$

imply that $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g / N$ fixes a divisor

$$\{D := (a_1, \dots, a_g)\} \subset \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N$$

if and only if say $a_g = \lambda_i$, and λ_i is a Wierstrass point on C_n . This implies that the ramification divisor of

$$M_{g,s} = \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g = \mathbb{CP}^g$$

consists of the images of the $2g+2$ divisors $\lambda_i \times \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_{g-1}$ in $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$. Since

the $2g+2$ points λ_i are different in $\mathbb{CP}^1$ then the images of

$$\lambda_{i_k} \times \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_{g-1}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, g$ and λ_i different points intersect into the image $G(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_g}) \in \mathbb{CP}^g$ of the point $(\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_g})$ by the map (5.3). Any $g+1$ different divisors $\lambda_{i_k} \times$

$\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_{g-1}$ in $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$ do not intersect. So we get that the ramification divisor of the double cover (5.4) is the union of $2g + 2$ hyperplanes that are the images of

$$\lambda_i \times \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_{g-1}$$

in $S^g(\mathbb{CP}^1) = \mathbb{CP}^g$ and they are in general position. So Theorem 10 implies Theorem 11. ■

6. DEFORMATION THEORY

6.1. Jacobians of Hyper-Elliptic Curves and Locally Symmetric Spaces as Moduli of CY Manifolds.

Theorem 12. *Let C_g be a hyper-elliptic curve of genus $g > 2$ and*

$$M_{g,s} = \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ N$$

be a CY manifold constructed by Theorem 11. Let M_g be the minimal model of $M_{g,s}$. Then for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in H^1(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0})$ we have

$$(6.1) \quad [\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0.$$

Proof: Let $J(C_g) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$. If $(z^1, \dots, z^g)$ are linear coordinates, then

$$\overline{dz^1}, \dots, \overline{dz^g}$$

will be globally defined anti-holomorphic $(0, 1)$ forms on $J(C_g) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ and therefore on $J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}$. By the same arguments we get that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^g}$$

are globally defined holomorphic vector field on $J(C_g) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$ and therefore on $J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}$.

According to Theorem 9 we have

$$J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij} = S^g(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} p_g^{-1}(\theta_j \cap \theta_j).$$

Let

$$\Pi : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \Big/ S^g = S^g(C_g),$$

be the natural map. Let us consider $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g$, where

$$R_g = \Pi^{-1} \left(\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} p_g^{-1}(\theta_j \cap \theta_j) \right).$$

We have a natural etale map

$$(6.2) \quad \Phi_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \rightarrow \left(\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \right) / N,$$

where

$$\left(\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \right) / N = M_g - \bigcup_{0 < i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j$$

Lemma 3. *Since the tangent bundle of $J(C_g)$ is trivial we can choose a basis of g holomorphic forms dz^i and g holomorphic vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$ on $J(C_g)$ and thus a basis*

$$(6.3) \quad \left\{ \overline{dz^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right\} \in H^1 \left(J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}, T_{J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}}^{1,0} \right).$$

Let us consider the map:

$$P_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \rightarrow S^g(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} p_g^{-1}(\theta_j \cap \theta_i) =$$

$$J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}$$

defined by the action of the symmetric group S_g . Then there exist a basis of g^2 invariant Kodaira-Spencer classes on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g$,

$$\left\{ P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes P_g^* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) \right\} \in H^1 \left(\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g, T_{\left(\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \right)}^{1,0} \right),$$

invariant under the action of the group $N = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g$ defined by (5.1) and (5.2).

Proof: Let $\{dz^i\}$ be a basis of holomorphic one forms on $J(C_g)$. Let

$$P_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g \rightarrow J(C_g).$$

Then we have $P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^g \pi_k^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right)$, where π_k is the projection operator of $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g$ onto k component $C_{g,k}$.

Let $(P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right)$ be the dual meromorphic field to holomorphic one forms $P_g^* (dz^i)$. Since $P_g^* (dz^i)$ is an invariant form under the action of the symmetric group S_g and have no zeroes on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g$, then $(P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right)$ are S_g

invariant holomorphic vector fields on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g$. Then

$$\left\{ P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right\}$$

will be a basis of g^2 linearly independent Kodaira-Spencer classes on $\underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g -$

R_g which are invariant under the action of the symmetric group S_g . The group $N = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{g-1} \ltimes S_g$ by its definitions (5.1) and (5.2) is generated by $g-1$ hyperelliptic involutions σ_g and the symmetric group. The holomorphic 1-forms $\{dz^i\}$ and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}\}$ on $S^g(C_g)$ satisfy

$$(6.4) \quad (\sigma_g)^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) = -\overline{dz^i} \text{ and } (\sigma_g)_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}.$$

Then (6.4) implies

$$(6.5) \quad (\sigma_g)^* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right) = P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right).$$

So (6.5) implies Lemma 3 . ■

Lemma 4. *Let*

$$\Phi_g : \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \rightarrow \underbrace{C_g \times \dots \times C_g}_g - R_g \Big/ N = M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j$$

be the quotient map constructed by the action of the group N by Theorem 11. According to Lemma 3 $P_g^ \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right)$ are Beltrami differentials on $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j$, invariant under the action of the group N of index two in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^g \ltimes S_g$. Let*

$$(6.6) \quad (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \right) \otimes (\Phi_g)_* \left((P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right)$$

be the basis of the Kodaira-Spencer classes on $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} P_g(\theta_{ij})$. Then this basis can be extended to antiholomorphic $(0,1)$ forms $(0,1)$ with coefficients in the holomorphic tangent bundle on M_g and thus as a basis of $H^1 \left(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right)$.

Proof: The $(0,1)$ forms $\overline{dz^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}$ with coefficients in the holomorphic tangent bundle of $J(C_g) - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} \theta_{ij}$ are invariant under the holomorphic involution σ_g . Therefore

$$(\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right)$$

are well defined Kodaira-Spencer classes in

$$H^1 \left(M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j, T_{M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j}^{1,0} \right).$$

Since the subvarieties $H_i \cap H_j$ have codimension two, $P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right)$ are invariant under the action of N . Therefore they are well defined $(0,1)$ forms with coefficients antiholomorphic functions and holomorphic vector fields. Since they

are defined outside codimension two $\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} P_g(\theta_{ij})$ in M_g , then by Hartogs theorem

$$(\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right)$$

can be extended from $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} P_g(\theta_{ij})$ to M_g . Thus we obtain g^2 linearly independent anti-holomorphic forms with coefficients holomorphic vector fields

$$(\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right) \in H^1 \left(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right).$$

Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1 \left(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right) = g^2$, then

$$\left\{ (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right) \right\}$$

is a basis of $H^1 \left(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right)$. ■

Lemma 5. *Let $\phi_k \in H^1 \left(M_g, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right)$ for $k = 1$ and 2 . Then $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$.*

Proof: Since $\left\{ \overline{dz^i} \otimes \frac{d}{dz^j} \right\}$ is a basis of Kodaira-Spencer classes on the Jacobian $J_g(C_g)$, then

$$\left\{ P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right\}$$

form a basis of $H^1 \left(M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j, T_{M_g}^{1,0} \right)$. Then any Kodaira-Spencer classes ϕ_k , $k = 1, 2$ on $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j$ can be expressed as

$$(6.7) \quad \phi_k = \sum_{i,m=1}^g a_{i,k}^m \left((\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \right) \otimes (P_g)_* \left(\frac{d}{dz^j} \right) \right) \right),$$

where $a_{j,k}^i$ are constants. Since $H_i \cap H_j$ have codimension two, we can extend ϕ_k on M_g . So from (6.7), since the coefficients of the $(0, 1)$ forms are antiholomorphic, the vector fields are holomorphic from the definition of $[\phi_1, \phi_2]$ we get that

$$(6.8) \quad [\phi_1, \phi_2] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, m=1}^g \left(\sum_{l=1}^g (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(a_{\alpha,1}^l \frac{\partial a_{\beta,2}^m}{\partial z^l} - a_{\beta,2}^l \frac{\partial a_{\alpha,1}^m}{\partial z^l} \right) \right) \right) \overline{dz^\alpha} \wedge \overline{dz^\beta} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^m} = 0,$$

since $a_{i,k}^m$ are constant, then we get that on $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} H_i \cap H_j$

$$(6.9) \quad \frac{\partial a_{\beta,2}^m}{\partial z^l} = \frac{\partial a_{\alpha,1}^m}{\partial z^l} = 0.$$

Then (6.8) and (6.9) imply that $[\phi_1, \phi_2] = 0$ holds on $M_g - \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2g+2} P_g(\theta_{ij})$. By

Hartogs Theorem we have that $P_g^*([\phi_1, \phi_2]) = 0$ on $J(C_g)$ and on $\widetilde{S^g(C_g)}$. This implies Lemma 5. ■

Lemma 5 implies Theorem 12. ■

Corollary 5. *Let M_g be a CY manifold which is a double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ for $g \geq 3$. Then the Hodge numbers of M are $h^{g-p,p} = \binom{g}{p}^2$ and $b_2 = \binom{2g+2}{2} + 1$.*

6.2. Moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{L,g}(\mathbf{M})$ of polarized CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g+2$ hyper planes is a Locally Symmetric Spaces.

Theorem 13. *The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{L,g}(M)$ of polarized CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g+2$ hyper planes is a locally symmetric space $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathfrak{h}_{g,g}$.*

Proof: The proof of Theorem 13 is based on the following Theorem due to Eli Cartan:

Theorem 14. *Suppose that X is a quasi projective variety. Suppose that on X there exists a metric g such that its curvature tensor satisfies $\nabla R = 0$. Then X is a locally symmetric space.*

Lemma 6. *The curvature tensor R the Weil-Petersson metric on the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_{L,g}(M)$ of polarized CY manifolds that are double covers of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g+2$ hyper planes satisfies $\nabla R = 0$.*

Proof: The proof of Lemma 6 is based on the following Theorem proved in [22]:

Theorem 15. *Let ω_τ be the family of holomorphic forms constructed by Theorem 2 and locally expressed by (2.1). Let*

$$(6.10) \quad \|\omega_\tau\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 := (-1)^{\frac{g(g-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right)^g \int_{M_\tau} \omega_\tau \wedge \overline{\omega_\tau}.$$

Then $\log \left(\|\omega_\tau\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 \right)$ is a potential of the Weil-Petersson metric, i.e.

$$(6.11) \quad dd^c \log \left(\|\omega_\tau\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 \right) = \text{Im}(W - P).$$

We will need the following Proposition, which is reproduced from [22]:

Proposition 1. *Let $\{D_i^g\}$ be a covering of M_g , $(z_i^1, \dots, z_i^g)$ be a coordinate system in D_i^g such that*

$$\omega_{M_g}(n, 0)|_{D_i^g} = dz_i^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_i^g.$$

According to Lemma 4

$$\left\{ (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) \right) \right\}$$

is a basis of $H^1 \left(M_\tau, T_{M_\tau}^{1,0} \right)$. Let us consider

$$\phi(\tau) = \sum \tau_\beta^\alpha \left((\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\beta} \right) \right) \right).$$

Then

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & (dz_i^1 + \phi(\tau)(dz_i^1 + \phi(\tau))) \wedge \dots \wedge (dz_i^g + \phi(\tau)(dz_i^g)) \omega_0|_{D_i^g} = \\ & \sum_{k=1}^g (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1, j_1 \leq g} \tau_{j_1}^{i_1} \times \dots \times \tau_{j_k}^{i_k} \omega_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_k j_k}(g-k, k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_k j_k}(g-k, k) :=$$

$$\left((\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^{i_1}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j_1}} \right) \right) \wedge \dots \wedge (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^{i_k}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{j_k}} \right) \right) \right) \lrcorner \omega_0.$$

Proof: Corollary 5 implies that

$$(6.13) \quad \phi(\tau) := \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq g} \tau_j^i (\Phi_g)_* \left(P_g^* \left(\overline{dz^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} \right) \right).$$

Proposition 1 follows directly from (6.13), Theorem 2 and formula (2.1) imply (6.12). ■

Repeating the computations of [22] by using formulas (6.10) and (6.12) we get

$$(6.14) \quad \|\omega_\tau\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 = \|\omega_0\|_{\mathbf{L}^2}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i_l, j_l \leq g} \tau_{j_1}^{i_1} \overline{\tau_{\beta_1}^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \tau_{j_k}^{i_k} \overline{\tau_{\beta_k}^{\alpha_k}} \langle \omega_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_k j_k} (g-k, k), \omega_{\alpha_1 \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k \beta_k} (g-k, k) \rangle,$$

where

$$(6.15) \quad \langle \omega_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_k j_k} (n-k, k), \omega_{\alpha_1 \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k \beta_k} (n-k, k) \rangle = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right)^n \int_{M_0} \omega_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_k j_k} (n-k, k) \wedge \overline{\omega_{\alpha_1 \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_k \beta_k} (n-k, k)}.$$

Formula (6.11) implies that the components $g_{i_{k_1} i_{k_2}, \overline{j_{k_1} j_{k_2}}}$ of the Weil-Petersson metric are expressed as follows:

$$(6.16) \quad g_{i_{k_1} j_{k_1}, \overline{i_{k_2} j_{k_2}}} = \delta_{i_{k_1} j_{k_1}, \overline{i_{k_2} j_{k_2}}} - \frac{1}{3} R_{i_{k_1} j_{k_1}, \overline{i_{k_2} j_{k_2}}, i_{k_3} j_{k_3}, \overline{i_{k_4} j_{k_4}}} \tau_{i_{k_3}}^{j_3} \overline{\tau_{i_{k_4}}^{j_4}} + O(4),$$

where $R_{i_{k_1} j_{k_1}, \overline{i_{k_2} j_{k_2}}, i_{k_3} j_{k_3}, \overline{i_{k_4} j_{k_4}}}$ is the curvature. Formula (6.16) implies that in the coordinates τ_j^i , the Levi-Cevita covariant derivative of the Weil-Petersson metric in direction $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j^i}$ of a fixed point is given by

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j^i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j^i}.$$

Since in the expression (6.16) we do not have terms of order three, then

$$(6.17) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j^i}} R_{i_{k_1} i_{k_2}, \overline{j_{k_1} j_{k_2}}, i_{k_3} i_{k_4}, \overline{j_{k_3} j_{k_4}}} \Big|_{\tau=0} = 0.$$

Since the expansion (6.16) holds for any point τ in $\mathfrak{M}_L(M_g)$, then we get that $\nabla R = 0$. Proposition 1 is proved. ■

Proposition 1 and Theorem 14 imply Theorem 13. ■

7. FINAL REMARKS

7.1. Some Comments about Miles Reid Conjecture. M. Reid conjectured that the moduli spaces of polarized CY threefolds is connected. He suggested that one can connect the CY three-folds by degenerating them to CY threefold with conic singularity and then deforming the non-singular CY three-fold obtained by a small resolution of the conic singularity. It is easy to see that the CY non-singular varieties M_g that are obtained from the double cover of $\mathbb{CP}^g$ ramified over $2g + 2$ hyper-planes in general position do not contain rational curves with a normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$. This implies that the moduli space of the CY manifold M_g is "extremum" in the conjectured connected moduli space of all CY three-folds. M_g is obtained by degeneration of a CY hypersurfaces in a weighted projective space $\mathbb{CP}^4(2 : 1 : \dots : 1)$.

7.2. The List of Tube Symmetric Domain, which can Parametrize Variation of Hodge Structure of Weight Three Coming from a CY Manifold.

In his paper [7], D. Gross classified all symmetric tube domain which possible can parametrize Variation of Hodge Structure of weight three of possibly CY threefold. In this paper we realized the Hermitian tube domains described in [7]. It seems that it is a rather difficult problem to realize the rest of the two tube symmetric domain as Variation of some geometrically realized CY manifold.

It seems that if the symmetric spaces in the list of Gross can be realized as Teichmüller spaces of CY three-folds, then they should not contain rational curves with a normal bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$. This follows from the arithmetic groups that are candidates of the image of the mapping class groups in the middle cohomologies do not contain elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which appears as a monodromy of the conic singularity.

7.3. Conjectures. It is easy to see that Weil-Petersson metric on the moduli space of the CY manifolds M_g is a complete metric since it coincides with the Bergman metric. See also [11]. It is a natural question to ask if the completeness of the Weil-Petersson metric implies that the moduli space is a locally symmetric.

Different conjectures about CY manifolds that have locally symmetric spaces as moduli, can be reviewed [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Andre, "*Distribution des Points CM sur les Sous-Variété de Modules de Modules de Variété Abéliennes.*", preprint 1997.
- [2] V. Batyrev, S. Hosono, J. Lewis, B. Lian, S.-T. Yau, N. Yui, D. Zagier, "*Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry*", BIRS, 2003.
- [3] H. Clemens, "*A Scrap Book of Complex Curve Theorey*", The University Series of Mathematics, Plenum Press, New York and London, 1980.
- [4] D. Friedan, Z. Qiu and S. Shenker, "*Conformal Invariance, Unitarity, and Critical Exponents in Two Dimensions*," Phys. Review Lett. **52** (1984) 1575.
- [5] P. Griffiths, "*Periods of Integrals on Algebraic Manifolds*", Publ.Math. IHES vol. **38**(1970), 125-180.
- [6] Ph. Griffiths and J. Harris, "*Principles of Algebraic Geometry*".
- [7] B. Gross, "*A Remark on Tube Domains*", Math. Research Letters, **1**, 1-9, (1994).
- [8] S. Gukov and C. Vafa, "*Rational Conformal Field Theories and Complex Multiplication*", Comm. Math. Physics, vol **246**, vol. 1 (2003), p. 181-210 .
- [9] S. Helgason, "*Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*", Academic Press.

- [10] K. Kodaira and Morrow, "Complex Manifolds".
- [11] K. Liu, X. Sun, A. Todorov and Shing-Tung Yau, "The Moduli Space of CY Manifolds II (Existence of Kähler-Einstein Metrics on the Moduli Space of CY Manifolds)", preprint.
- [12] B. Lian, A. Todorov and Shing-Tung Yau, "Maximal Unipotent Monodromy for Complete Intersection CY Manifolds", American Journal of Mathematics, **127** (2005), 1-50.
- [13] K. Liu, A. Todorov, Shing-Tung Yau and K. Zuo, "Shafarevich Conjecture for CY Manifolds I" (Moduli of CY Manifolds), Quaterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **1**.
- [14] Mao Sheng, "On the Hodge Numbers of Certain Calabi-Yau n -Folds", preprint.
- [15] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, "Polynomial Equations for Rational Conformal Field Theories," Phys. Lett. **151B**(1985).
- [16] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, "Classical and Quantum Conformal Field Theory", Comm. Math. Physics, vol. **123** (1989) p. 177.
- [17] F. Oort, "Canonical Lifts and Dense Sets of CM Points", Arithmetic Geometry, Proceed. Cortina Symposium 1994, Symposia Math. **XXXVII**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [18] Dipankar Ray, "When the Moduli Space of CY Manifold is a Locally Symmetric Space", Ph. D. Thesis, UCSC, 1997.
- [19] Sasaki,T; Yamaguchi,K; Yoshida,M; "On the Ridity of Differential Systems Modelled on Hermitian Symmetric Spaces and Disproofs of a Conjecture Concerning Modular Interpretations of Confguration Spaces". Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 25, 318-354, 1997.
- [20] I. Satake, "Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains", Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan **14**, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [21] R. Schimmrigk, "Arithmetic of Calabi-Yau Varieties and Rational Conformal Field Theory," hep-th/0111226.
- [22] A. Todorov, "The Weil-Petersson Geometry of Moduli Spaces of $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{U}(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau Manifolds) I", Comm. Math. Phys. **126** (1989), 325-346.
- [23] A. Todorov, "Weil-Petersson Volumes of the Moduli Spaces of CY Manifolds", Communication in Analysis and geometry, Vol. **15**, No2, p. 407-434.
- [24] E. Viehweg, "Quasi-Projective Moduli for Polarized Manifolds", Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge, Band **30**, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [25] S. T. Yau, "A Splitting Theorem And An Algebraic Geometric Characterization of the Locally Symmetric Spaces", Comm. in Analysis and Geometry vol. **1**, No **3**, 473-486 (1993).
- [26] M. Yoshida, "Hyper Geometric Functions My Love", Aspects of Mathematics, vol. **33**, Vieweg, 1997.

UCSC, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SOFIA 1113 BULGARIA
E-mail address: `todorov.andrey@gmail.com`